

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,185	LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.	1637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Royal Ronning, Jr. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 08 March 2005.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23-42 and 59-67.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner discussed with Mr. Ronning, Jr. for Applicant that the claims 1-22 and 43-58 were in condition for allowance. However, the non-elected claims 23-42 and 59-67 needed to be canceled. The Examiner also discussed a double patenting rejection of the instant invention against the claims 44-62 and 82-92 of US Patent 6664061 B1. Mr. Ronning Jr for Applicant agreed to cancel the non-elected claims 23-42 and 59-67 and authorized an Examiner's amendment. Mr. Ronning, Jr. also agreed to submit a terminal disclaimer over US Patent 6664061 B1..